

From: Marc Altenbernt [DCF] Marc.Altenbernt@ks.gov
Subject: Your KORA Request
Date: September 2, 2025 at 11:08 AM
To: tyceanthony@me.com
Cc: Andrea Warnke [DCF] Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov



Mr. Bonjorno,

Your latest email was forwarded to me. Please accept this as our final response to your KORA request.

An order adjudicating you to be the father of Indi, which was signed by both you and your attorney, was made in the 2014 DM 21 case. The order was attached.

An order adjudicating you to be the father of Handrix was made in 2012 DM 52. The order was attached.

Regarding your question concerning 2018 DM 000019,

You actually filed a Petition to have all three children adjudicated as your biological children on September 24, 2018. That Petition was attached.

The court entered an order granting your petition on March 30, 2020, and found that you were the biological father of all three children. Your attorney was ordered to file a separate order concerning same and he never did. Nonetheless, the record is clear that all three children are yours. A copy of the order was attached.

Finally, the court entered another order on July 9, 2020, finding that paternity had been established and you were prohibited from filing any other petitions regarding same. A copy of the order was attached.

We have complied with your KORA request in full. If you believe that the foregoing doesn't constitute a formal adjudication of paternity, then that is your prerogative. We consider this matter closed.

Thanks,

Marc A. Altenbernt
General Counsel
Kansas Department for Children and Families
555 S. Kansas Avenue
Topeka, Kansas 66603
785-250-0380



From: Handymanlawns.com . tyceanthony@me.com
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request
Date: September 2, 2025 at 11:48 AM
To: Marc Altenbernt Marc.Altenbernt@ks.gov
Cc: Andrea Warnke Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov



Subject: Clarification on KORA Response – Adjudication of Paternity

Mr. Altenbernt,

Thank you for your reply. I must respectfully note that your response does not resolve the core issue of my KORA request.

1. While you referenced orders from 2012 DM 52 and 2014 DM 21, those are separate cases and not the operative case (2018 DM 19) from which custody and support orders now flow. UCCJEA jurisdiction cannot be based on fragmented adjudications scattered across different files.
2. Regarding 2018 DM 19, the March 30, 2020 Memorandum Decision expressly directed counsel to prepare a separate order adjudicating paternity. That order was never filed. Without the separate adjudication order required by the court, there is no final adjudication of paternity in the record.
3. The July 9, 2020 order you cite simply states paternity was “established.” However, such conclusory language does not satisfy K.S.A. 23-2208 or substitute for the missing adjudication order.

To be clear: I am not asking for your interpretation. I am asking for a copy of any filed “Order Adjudicating Paternity” in 2018 DM 19. If no such order exists, then my request has not been fulfilled.

Please confirm in writing whether the District Court file contains a signed adjudication order pursuant to the March 30, 2020 directive. If it does,

provide a copy. If it does not, then the record is incomplete, and the Kansas orders resting on it are void for lack of jurisdiction.

Respectfully,

Tyce A. Bonjorno

Tyce Bonjorno
512-579-1329

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use, or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive for the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you for your consideration

On Sep 2, 2025, at 11:08 AM, Marc Altenbernt [DCF] <Marc.Aldenbernt@ks.gov> wrote:

Mr. Bonjorno,

Your latest email was forwarded to me. Please accept this as our final response to your KORA request.

An order adjudicating you to be the father of Indi, which was signed by both you and your attorney, was made in the 2014 DM 21 case. The order was attached.

An order adjudicating you to be the father of Handrix was made in 2012 DM 52. The order was attached.

Regarding your question concerning 2018 DM 000019,

You actually filed a Petition to have all three children adjudicated as your biological children on September 24, 2018. That Petition was attached.

The court entered an order granting your petition on March 30, 2020, and found that you were the biological father of all three children. Your attorney was ordered to file a separate order concerning same and he never did. Nonetheless, the record is clear that all three children are yours. A copy of the order was attached.

Finally, the court entered another order on July 9, 2020, finding that paternity had been established and you were prohibited from filing any other petitions regarding same. A copy of the order was attached.

We have complied with your KORA request in full. If you believe that the foregoing doesn't constitute a formal adjudication of paternity, then that is your prerogative. We

From: Marc Altenbernt [DCF] Marc.Aldenbernt@ks.gov
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request
Date: September 2, 2025 at 11:59 AM
To: Handymanlawns.com . tyceanthony@me.com
Cc: Andrea Warnke [DCF] Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov



Mr. Bonjorno,

We have provided you with what we have, which is substantial evidence of your parenthood. This includes orders signed by you attesting to your parenthood and petitions alleging paternity filed by you that were granted by the district court. It sounds like your attorney failed to file the court-ordered order concerning paternity. This failure does not change the multiple orders already entered by multiple courts, including the court in 2018 DM 19, finding you to be the father. To argue that there is no basis in the record to find that you are the father of three children when you yourself admitted to as much on multiple occasions is interesting. The federal court didn't go for it when they dismissed your complaint with prejudice. I doubt the state court will either.

Thanks,

Marc A. Altenbernt
General Counsel
Kansas Department for Children and Families
555 S. Kansas Avenue
Topeka, Kansas 66603
785-250-0380



The information contained in and accompanying this transmission contains information belonging to the sender and its client(s) which by law is privileged as attorney/client communication, attorney work product, client work product, attorney mental impressions or any and all other applicable privileges. This information is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity named in this transmission. You are prohibited, under law, from disclosing, disseminating, copying, reviewing, observing, or retaining this transmission. Further, you are notified that under law you are prohibited from distributing, disclosing, or taking any other action with regard to this transmission. The foregoing prohibitions also apply to your agents, employees, or any other person(s) under your control or direction. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by telephone, and after receiving sender's instructions, destroy this transmission.

From: Handymanlawns.com . <tyceanthony@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 2, 2025 11:48 AM
To: Marc Altenbernt [DCF] <Marc.Aldenbernt@ks.gov>
Cc: Andrea Warnke [DCF] <Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov>
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Subject: Clarification on KORA Response – Adjudication of Paternity

From: Handymanlawns.com . tyceanthony@me.com
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request
Date: September 2, 2025 at 12:22 PM
To: Marc Altenbernt Marc.Aldenbernt@ks.gov
Cc: Andrea Warnke Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov



Subject: Final Clarification Request – Adjudication of Paternity (2018 DM 19)

Mr. Altenbernt,

This is my follow-up because your most recent response leaves fundamental issues unresolved. At this stage, it is clear that Kansas officials are giving three different versions of events, which only underscores the fact that no actual adjudication of paternity order exists in the controlling case.

1. Contradictory Accounts

- Judge Gatterman (March 30, 2020 Memorandum Decision) wrote that “paternity was previously adjudicated August 23, 2019” and that “counsel” shall prepare a separate adjudication order. The order does not identify my attorney; it uses the vague term “counsel,” which could refer to either party’s attorney. Regardless, the Court itself bore responsibility to ensure the adjudication order was prepared, signed, and filed. It never was.
 - Judge Wilson (July 11, 2025) declared that paternity had already been adjudicated and barred me from raising the issue, even though the order Judge Gatterman directed to be prepared was never entered.
 - You now argue that my attorney alone failed to file the order and that older cases somehow cure the defect.
- These are three different explanations for the same issue. If a valid adjudication order existed, there would be one consistent answer.

2. No VAP or DNA

There is no Voluntary Acknowledgment of Paternity (VAP), no DNA test, and no filing with Vital Statistics covering all three children in 2018 DM 19. In addition, Dominic has never been adjudicated in any case whatsoever. No order exists for him in 2012, 2014, or 2018. This leaves one of the three children completely outside any adjudication, which by itself proves the defect.

3. Old Cases Do Not Cure the Defect

The adjudication language in 2012 DM 52 (Hendrix) and 2014 DM 21 (Indi) are separate cases from Russell and Kingman Counties. They are not part of 2018 DM 19, which is the operative case for custody and support. Kansas law requires adjudication in the same umbrella case that current orders flow from. That never occurred.

4. Petitions ≠ Adjudication

While I alleged paternity in my filings, a party’s admission or petition is not a statutory adjudication. Under Kansas law, adjudication of paternity is a jurisdictional prerequisite to custody and support orders. Without it, those orders are void ab initio. K.S.A. 23-2208 requires either a VAP or a signed adjudication order. Neither exists in the operative file.

5. Federal Court Dismissal is Irrelevant

A federal court’s dismissal of a civil complaint does not create a missing adjudication order in state court. The jurisdictional defect in 2018 DM 19 remains.

For my KORA request to be fulfilled, I again ask for one clear answer: does the District Court file in 2018 DM 19 contain a signed Order Adjudicating Paternity for all three children? If yes, please provide it. If no such order exists, please confirm that fact in writing. KORA requires production of the actual record if it exists — not summaries, assumptions, or conflicting explanations.

“I am fully aware of the controlling law on this issue. Kansas statutes and caselaw require a formal adjudication of paternity before custody or support orders may attach, and KORA requires the production of actual records if they exist. I am being sidestepped by conflicting explanations and incomplete responses. This is a straightforward request for a single document. If it exists, produce it. If it does not, confirm that fact in writing.”

Respectfully,

Tyce A. Bonjorno

From: Marc Altenbernt [DCF] Marc.Altenbernt@ks.gov
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request
Date: September 2, 2025 at 12:57 PM
To: Handymanlawns.com . tyceanthony@me.com
Cc: Andrea Warnke [DCF] Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov



Mr. Bonjorno,

Please see my responses below.

Marc A. Altenbernt
General Counsel
Kansas Department for Children and Families
555 S. Kansas Avenue
Topeka, Kansas 66603
785-250-0380



The information contained in and accompanying this transmission contains information belonging to the sender and its client(s) which by law is privileged as attorney/client communication, attorney work product, client work product, attorney mental impressions or any and all other applicable privileges. This information is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity named in this transmission. You are prohibited, under law, from disclosing, disseminating, copying, reviewing, observing, or retaining this transmission. Further, you are notified that under law you are prohibited from distributing, disclosing, or taking any other action with regard to this transmission. The foregoing prohibitions also apply to your agents, employees, or any other person(s) under your control or direction. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by telephone, and after receiving sender's instructions, destroy this transmission.

From: Handymanlawns.com . <tyceanthony@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 2, 2025 12:22 PM
To: Marc Altenbernt [DCF] <Marc.Altenbernt@ks.gov>
Cc: Andrea Warnke [DCF] <Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov>
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Subject: Final Clarification Request – Adjudication of Paternity (2018 DM 19)

Mr. Altenbernt,

This is my follow-up because your most recent response leaves fundamental issues unresolved. At this stage, it is clear that Kansas officials are giving three different versions of events which only underscores the fact that no actual adjudication of paternity order exists in the controlling case.

1. Contradictory Accounts

- Judge Gatterman (March 30, 2020 Memorandum Decision) wrote that "paternity was previously adjudicated August 23, 2019" and that "counsel" shall prepare a separate adjudication order. The order does not identify my attorney; it uses the vague term "counsel," which could refer to either party's attorney. Regardless, the Court itself bore responsibility to ensure the adjudication order was prepared, signed, and filed. It never was. His order isn't vague at all. In fact it reads, "A separate

order for adjudication of paternity is to be prepared by counsel for the Petitioner." Given that you were the Petitioner, your attorney, Mr. Walter, was responsible for filing the order. I agree, it sounds like your attorney never filed the order despite the court's direction.

- Judge Wilson (July 11, 2025) declared that paternity had already been adjudicated and barred me from raising the issue, even though the order Judge Gatterman directed to be prepared was never entered. Judge Gatterman's order reads, "Paternity of the three (3) minor children was previously adjudicated August 23, 2019." He then orders your attorney to file another order concerning same. His failure to do so does not negate the Judge's finding of paternity.

- You now argue that my attorney alone failed to file the order and that older cases somehow cure the defect.

These are three different explanations for the same issue. If a valid adjudication order existed, there would be one consistent answer. There's only ever been one consistent answer; you just don't like it. You filed a petition alleging that you were the biological father of all three children. That petition was granted in 2020. You were in court with your attorney when it was entered. In other words, you were fully aware of all of it. In fact, you asked the court for it (most likely after you admitted to your parentage in August 2019, thereby admitted to it twice). You can't change your mind on being a parent five years later because you feel like it. That's now how the law works (which is why Judge Wilson denied your petition).

2. No VAP or DNA

There is no Voluntary Acknowledgment of Paternity (VAP), no DNA test, and no filing with Vital Statistics covering all three children in 2018 DM 19. In addition, Dominic has never been adjudicated in any case whatsoever. No order exists for him in 2012, 2014, or 2018. This leaves one of the three children completely outside any adjudication, which by itself proves the defect. You were adjudicated to be the biological father of all three children by a court of competent jurisdiction, pursuant to your own petition.

3. Old Cases Do Not Cure the Defect

The adjudication language in 2012 DM 52 (Hendrix) and 2014 DM 21 (Indi) are separate cases from Russell and Kingman Counties. They are not part of 2018 DM 19, which is the operative case for custody and support. Kansas law requires adjudication in the same umbrella case that current orders flow from. That never occurred. First, the prior cases are still in effect until a court changes them. That hasn't happened. You were adjudicated to be the father to two of your children in the earlier cases. Those orders don't disappear because you file something in a different court. Of course, what you filed in Rush County (not Kingman or Russell) asked the court to find you to be the father of all three children, which it did.

4. Petitions ≠ Adjudication

While I alleged paternity in my filings, a party's admission or petition is not a statutory adjudication. Under Kansas law, adjudication of paternity is a jurisdictional prerequisite to custody and support orders. Without it, those orders are void ab initio. K.S.A. 23-2208 requires either a VAP or a signed adjudication order. Neither exists in the operative file. Court orders = adjudication, of which there are many.

5. Federal Court Dismissal is Irrelevant

A federal court's dismissal of a civil complaint does not create a missing adjudication order in state court. The jurisdictional defect in 2018 DM 19 remains. I have yet to see a court who thinks there was a "jurisdictional defect."

For my KORA request to be fulfilled, I again ask for one clear answer: does the District Court file in 2018 DM 19 contain a signed Order Adjudicating Paternity for all three children? If yes, please provide it. If no such order exists, please confirm that fact in writing. KORA requires production of the actual record if it exists — not summaries, assumptions, or conflicting explanations. We have no idea what the District Court file contains, and we are not required by KORA to look. You can go look in the casefile yourself. We provided you with the documentation in our possession which fully supports your owing child support for your three children. In fact, one of the documents produced constitutes an order of child support, which we are bound by Kansas law to enforce.

"I am fully aware of the controlling law on this issue. Kansas statutes and caselaw require a formal adjudication of paternity before custody or support orders may attach, and KORA requires the production of actual records if they exist. I am being sidestepped by conflicting explanations and incomplete responses. This is a straightforward request for a single document. If it exists, produce it. If it does not, confirm that fact in writing."

Respectfully,

Tyce A. Bonjorno

From: Handymanlawns.com . tyceanthony@me.com
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request
Date: September 2, 2025 at 1:36 PM
To: Marc Altenbernt Marc.Aldenbernt@ks.gov
Cc: Andrea Warnke Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov



Subject: Final Clarification Request – Adjudication of Paternity (2018-DM-19)

Mr. Altenbernt,

This is my follow-up because your most recent response leaves fundamental issues unresolved. At this stage, three different Kansas actors are giving three different versions of events, which only underscores that no actual adjudication of paternity order exists in the controlling case.

1. Contradictory Accounts

- Judge Gatterman (Mar. 30, 2020 Memorandum Decision) wrote that “paternity was previously adjudicated August 23, 2019” and that “counsel” shall prepare a separate adjudication order. The order does not identify my attorney; it uses the vague term “counsel,” which could refer to either party’s attorney. Regardless, the Court had the responsibility to ensure an adjudication order was signed and filed. It never was.
- Judge Wilson (July 11, 2025) declared paternity had already been adjudicated and barred me from raising the issue—even though the order Judge Gatterman directed to be prepared was never entered.
- You now argue my attorney alone failed to file the order and that older cases somehow cure the defect.

These are three different explanations. If a valid adjudication order existed, there would be one consistent answer.

2. No VAP, No DNA, No Vital Statistics filing

There is no Voluntary Acknowledgment of Paternity (VAP), no DNA test, and no Vital Statistics filing covering all three children in

2018-DM-19. Dominic has never been adjudicated in any case whatsoever.

3. Old Cases Do Not Cure the Defect

The adjudication language you cite in 2012-DM-52 (Hendrix) and 2014-DM-21 (Indi) are separate county files (Russell, Kingman). They are not part of 2018-DM-19, which is the operative umbrella case for custody and support. If you contend those prior orders control 2018-DM-19, please produce:

- Certified copies of the 2012 and 2014 adjudication orders identifying the child by name; and
- The consolidation order or other court order linking those adjudications into 2018-DM-19.

Absent that link, fragmented, older files do not supply adjudication in the operative case.

4. Petitions and Support Orders $\neq$ Adjudication

My 2018 petition and temporary/support orders may be “evidence,” but they are not the adjudication required by K.S.A. 23-2208 (or a VAP under 23-2204). Under Kansas law, adjudication of paternity is a jurisdictional prerequisite to custody/support; without it, later orders are void ab initio. See *In re Marriage of Ross*, 245 Kan. 591 (1989).

5. Clerk Confirmation + KORA

The Rush County Clerk of Court confirmed in writing that the 2018-DM-19 file contains no adjudication order. KORA requires production of the actual record if it exists—not summaries or interpretations. See *Southwest Anesthesia Serv., P.A. v. Southwest Med. Ctr.*, 23 Kan. App. 2d 950 (1997).

KORA Request (narrow, final):

Please provide a file-stamped, certified copy of any signed “Order Adjudicating Paternity” filed in 2018-DM-19 that adjudicates Hendrix, Indi, and Dominic by name. If you contend such an order exists, identify the exact docket entry number, file-stamp date, and page.

the exact docket entry number, the stamp date, and page.

If no such order exists, please provide a written confirmation of that fact (or direct me to the Clerk for a certificate of no record).

If this request is not fulfilled as required by KORA, I reserve the right to seek enforcement through the Kansas Attorney General's Office or judicial review. A federal dismissal does not create a missing state-court adjudication order.

I am fully aware of the controlling law. Kansas statutes and caselaw require a formal adjudication of paternity before custody or support orders may attach, and KORA requires production of actual records if they exist. I will not be sidestepped by conflicting explanations. This is a straightforward request for a single document. If it exists, produce it. If it does not, confirm that fact in writing.

"P.S. For clarity, please do not respond with additional summaries. If there is an adjudication order in 2018-DM-19, a certified copy with docket citation will resolve this."

Respectfully,

Tyce A. Bonjorno

Tyce Bonjorno
512-579-1329

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic communication with its

From: Marc Altenbernt [DCF] Marc.Aldenbernt@ks.gov
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request
Date: September 2, 2025 at 2:24 PM
To: Handymanlawns.com . tyceanthony@me.com
Cc: Andrea Warnke [DCF] Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov



We have fulfilled our obligation to you under KORA, which is the only thing pending before us. We will not be providing any additional response to your KORA request. I am not going to argue the alleged merits of your case. Feel free to file something in court.

Thanks,

Marc A. Altenbernt
General Counsel
Kansas Department for Children and Families
555 S. Kansas Avenue
Topeka, Kansas 66603
785-250-0380



The information contained in and accompanying this transmission contains information belonging to the sender and its client(s) which by law is privileged as attorney/client communication, attorney work product, client work product, attorney mental impressions or any and all other applicable privileges. This information is intended solely for the use of the individual(s) or entity named in this transmission. You are prohibited, under law, from disclosing, disseminating, copying, reviewing, observing, or retaining this transmission. Further, you are notified that under law you are prohibited from distributing, disclosing, or taking any other action with regard to this transmission. The foregoing prohibitions also apply to your agents, employees, or any other person(s) under your control or direction. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by telephone, and after receiving sender's instructions, destroy this transmission.

From: Handymanlawns.com . <tyceanthony@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 2, 2025 1:36 PM
To: Marc Altenbernt [DCF] <Marc.Aldenbernt@ks.gov>
Cc: Andrea Warnke [DCF] <Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov>
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click any links or open any attachments unless you trust the sender and know the content is safe.

Subject: Final Clarification Request – Adjudication of Paternity (2018-DM-19)

Mr. Altenbernt,

From: Handymanlawns.com . tyceanthony@me.com
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request
Date: September 4, 2025 at 5:52 PM
To: Marc Altenbernt Marc.Altenbernt@ks.gov
Cc: Andrea Warnke Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov



You need to read your handbook, dude! it clearly states paternity must be established by a notarized VAP or a judicial adjudication order. Which one are you relying on here?"

Tyce Bonjorno
512-579-1329

Confidentiality Notice: This electronic communication with its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged information. It is solely for the use of the intended recipient(s). Unauthorized interception, review, use, or disclosure is prohibited and may violate applicable laws including the Electronic Communications Privacy Act. If you are not the intended recipient, or authorized to receive for the intended recipient, please contact the sender and destroy all copies of the communication. Thank you for your consideration

On Sep 2, 2025, at 2:24 PM, Marc Altenbernt [DCF]
<Marc.Altenbernt@ks.gov> wrote:

We have fulfilled our obligation to you under KORA, which is the only thing pending before us. We will not be providing any additional response to your KORA request. I am not going to argue the alleged merits of your case. Feel free to file something in court.

From: Handymanlawns.com . tyceanthony@me.com
Subject: Re: Your KORA Request
Date: September 4, 2025 at 10:14 PM
To: Marc Altenbernt Marc.Altenbernt@ks.gov
Cc: Andrea Warnke Andrea.Warnke@ks.gov



Subject: Escalation to Attorney General

Mr. Altenbernt,

For the record: both the CSS Establishing Paternity Brochure and the DCF Non-Custodial Parent Handbook (March 2025) expressly state that paternity can only be established through (1) a notarized Voluntary Acknowledgment of Paternity filed with Vital Statistics, or (2) DNA/genetic testing adjudicated by the court.

Neither has occurred in my case. I never signed a notarized VAP, and I never underwent DNA testing. That is 100% factual. Despite my repeated requests, no certified adjudication order has been produced.

You previously told me to “take it to court.” That advice ignored the statutory process and assumed I would not pursue the matter further. In fact, the correct procedure is escalation to the Kansas Attorney General’s Office, which is exactly what I have done.

This is not a request for response. It is notice: you took my efforts for granted, but the matter is now in the hands of the Attorney General, where transparency is mandatory. Either a valid adjudication order exists and must be produced, or it does not. There is no middle ground.

Respectfully,

Tyce A. Bonjorno